Date: Wed, 3 Aug 94 04:30:08 PDT

From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>

Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu

Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu

Precedence: Bulk

Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #870

To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest Wed, 3 Aug 94 Volume 94 : Issue 870

Today's Topics:

Info-Hams Digest V94 #858 Lightbulb's as antennas? REQUEST: Help finding WWV receiver!

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu> Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu> Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available (by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Date: 2 Aug 94 19:06:17 -0500

From: europa.eng.gtefsd.com!ulowell!woods.uml.edu!martinja@uunet.uu.net

Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #858

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <01HFFWGBAJ7S8ZEPOC@VEGA.SELU.EDU>, FMUS1184@VEGA.SELU.EDU
(Robert G. Priez) writes:

> But Herb, this is the no-code, water-bed generation....what's a bed spring?!?

Hey Bob!

Does this generation still use traverse or curtain rods? I've used a Dentron transmatch before and talked to California from Florida on 10. Of course a really active set of sunspots helps immensely. We'll have to wait a few years to try that again.

73 de WK1V -iimDate: Tue, 2 Aug 1994 20:05:29 GMT From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net! europa.eng.gtefsd.com!gatech!news.ans.net!sitka.wsipc.wednet.edu!egreen!egreen! jmollan@network.ucsd.edu Subject: Lightbulb's as antennas? To: info-hams@ucsd.edu In article <40.8999.2426@channel1.com>, Alan Wilensky <alan.wilensky@channel1.com> wrote: >TS>I thought I heard someone say that a recent Newsline featured a HF >TS>contact made by Gorden West using a lightbulb as an antenna. >TS>anyone have some information on this? Or was I hearing things >TS>(again!)? >He stated it in one of his study guides. He was tuning up a transmitter. >Alan Wilensky, N1SSO >General Manager >Interactive Workplace Division >Vicom, LTD. >Phone: Edmonton Office >11603 165 St. >abm@world.std.com >--->≥ CmpQwk #UNREG≥ UNREGISTERED EVALUATION COPY I used to demonstrate how to tune up a transmitter to my novice classes in the "tube days. " A 100 watt bulb loaded nicely and you could see the bulb brighten as the current dips. I actually did have a few short distance QSOs on 10 at this time, even though I was in a concrete building and the other station was several miles away. This might also me a good demonstration of how to use a tuner. I have also held QSOs with both stations on dummy loads. I'm still working on my WAD (Worked All Dummies) award, although the number of dummies to work seems to increase each year. Some other fun antennas are bed springs, metal gutters, aluminum window screens and metallized mylar kite strings (except during thinder storms( Anyway, keep on experimenting. That's what HR is all about John, AE7P

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Date: Tue, 2 Aug 1994 13:49:25 +0000

From: pipex!demon!alcarg.demon.co.uk!al@uunet.uu.net

Subject: REQUEST: Help finding WWV receiver!

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Sorry for being a dumbo - my interest has being raised! What is WWV? Is this a North American only broadcast or can it be received in Europe? The reason I ask is that I have tuned a short wave radio in to the bands given and dont hear anything. I am ready to be laughed off this group !!

Al

\_\_\_\_\_

Date: Tue, 2 Aug 1994 22:22:09 GMT

From: omen!caf@uunet.uu.net

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <31611g\$5s7@char.vnet.net>, <316ff7\$8bq@hobbes.cc.uga.edu>,

<1994Jul27.211943.14175@brtph560.bnr.ca>

Subject : Re: REQUEST: Help finding WWV receiver!

>>: OK, I'm at my wits end. I have tried to locate a WWV receiver

The last Radio Shack flyer I received shows their low end multiband portable at \$39.00. This appears to be a newer version of a \$49 Sangean portable I purchased shortly before Desert Storm. My radio was almost dead on SW before I tweeked it up. Now it is about as sensitive as other tiny radios, and better sounding than some. It might even be possible to realign the set so one can get WWV at the same spot on the (original) 49, 31, and 19 meter bands. And if you break it you haven't ransomed your firstborn.

- -

Chuck Forsberg WA7KGX caf@omen.COM 503-621-3406
Author of YMODEM, ZMODEM, Professional-YAM, ZCOMM, GSZ and DSZ
Omen Technology Inc "The High Reliability Software"
TeleGodzilla BBS: 503-621-3746 FAX:-3735 CIS:70007,2304

-----

Date: 2 Aug 1994 22:28:45 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!uhog.mit.edu!news.kei.com!ssd.intel.com!chnews!scorpion.ch.intel.com!

cmoore@network.ucsd.edu
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <118848@cup.portal.com>, <31i4ps\$c6f@chnews.intel.com>, <119018@cup.portal.com>d.intel
Subject : Re: Ramsey SlyFox

In article <119018@cup.portal.com>,
Joseph Joe Moell <JoeMoell@cup.portal.com> wrote:
> 
>>CM The original problem was based on a prepublication leak of your
>>CM article.
> 
> 
>JM I didn't see that post.
> 
IMHO, the gist of the original post was, "the SlyFox doesn't meet FCC specs and there is a 73 article on the way that will verify that fact!" It was

IMHO, the gist of the original post was, "the SlyFox doesn't meet FCC specs and there is a 73 article on the way that will verify that fact!". It was posted so far in advance of your article that it had expired by the time I got my 73 and read the article. It was that original posting which prompted the start of this thread, not your article.

>>CM someone said the SlyFox didn't meet FCC specs, and it does >>CM when tuned properly.

>JM True, and the big problem is that the manual does not give sufficient info >JM to assure that it will be tuned properly. I stand by that.

Then get with the manufacturer and fix the problem! After all it is simply a paper problem. The manual is not fixed in concrete.

In the past, (sigh), a reputable magazine would have immediately notified the kit manufacturer of any problems with the kit (including the manual). They would not have published something designed to hurt sales unless the company refused to fix the problem. You could have been of service to the ham community instead of a disservice... amateurs tend to be very unprofessional.;-)

>>CM I think your review was subjective rather than objective

>JM Please explain that remark. I made no judgement or conclusions, just
>JM stated my experiences factually. The only comment that seems subjectively
>JM critical to me was my disagreement with Ramsey's suggestion to poorly
>JM equipped hams that a light bulb is sufficient as a tuning indicator. But
>JM I value your opinion.

If it had been me reviewing the kit, I would have immediately notified the company that the manual needs improving. I would have published the suggested manual improvements in the article and posted them on oak.oakland.edu (did you?) I would not have taken a "poor me" attitude. There is nothing wrong with the kit as far as I can tell, just with the manual. An addendum mailed to previous purchasers and included in the

manual solves the problem.

```
>>CM but I admit to being old-fashioned... real hams don't cry.
>
>JM I'm not crying for me. My concern is for the ham without access to a watt-
>JM meter and spectrum analyzer who builds this kit, follows the instructions
>JM to the letter, and ends up with a dirty signal. The bottom line is that
>JM if you don't properly tune the low pass filter on this rig (and there are
>JM no instructions for doing so), there is a good chance this will happen.
>JM What's more, the builder may never realize it.
>JM 73 de Joe KOOV
```

The kit builder does \_not\_ need a spectrum analyzer! He probably does need a wattmeter, available from Radio shack for peanuts or the nearest Elmer for nothing. He also needs an 8.5"x11" piece of paper explaining the problems that a brand new manual may have. What he does not need is a person writing for a popular ham magazine scaring him away from a profitable learning experience by a biased review of a reasonable product.

Again, there appears to be nothing wrong with the SlyFox if it is tuned properly. (1) Spread the coils to raise the low-pass filter frequency above 150 MHz. (2) Buy, beg, borrow, or steal a Radio Shack (or better) VHF watt-meter and tune the transmitter for close to 5 watts output. (3) Enjoy your foxhunt.

73,	Cecil,	KG7BK,	OOTC	(Not	speaking	for	Intel)

End of Info-Hams Digest V94 #870

\*